

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/719,896	11/21/2003	John N. Nuss	PP-01677.006	1440		
23476 75590 044012908 NOVARTS VACCINES AND DIAGNOSTICS INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY R338 P.O. BOX 8097 Emeryville, CA 94662-8097			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			BALASUBRAMANIAN, VENKATARAMAN			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,,			1624			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			04/01/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

#### 

Application No.

Applicant(s)

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 January 2008.

after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

2b) This action is non-final.

Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patern term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

	tı	

2a) This action is FINAL.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-27,31,32,37-43,45,46 and 54-58 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-27,31,32,37-43,45 and 46</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>54-58</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
• /	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
- 3	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
Attachmen	ıt(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				
37 LI IIIIOI	mation disclosure statements (in 10/56/00)			

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/719,896

Art Unit: 1624

### DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' response filed on 1/11/2008 is made of record. Claims 1-27, 31, 32, 37-43, 45, 46 and 54-58 are pending. In view of applicants' response, prior art 103 rejection made in the previous office action is maintained.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 10/719,896

Art Unit: 1624

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 54-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Narr et al., DE 2341925 in view of Caine et al., Cancer 98(8), 1578-1586, 2003.

Narr et al., teaches several 2,4,5,6-tetrasubstituted pyrimidine compounds useful as antithrombotics, which include compounds, composition and method of use embraced in the instant claims. See formula I. Note with the given definition of various  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ,  $R_4$  and  $R_3$  groups, the compounds taught by Narr et al., are also generically embraced in the instant claims. See entire document for details of the invention. Especially see page 83, example 135, page 89, example 157 and page 90, example 159 for some examples of compounds made. See also page 89, example 156.

Narr et al. differs from the instant claims in teaching use of the compound as antithrombotics and not the said use teaching with breast cancer agents.

Caine et al., teaches the coagulopathy in breast cancer patients and the usefulness of antithrombotic agents in breast cancer patient. See entire document

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made would be motivated to make the compounds using the teachings of Narr et al and use the resultant compound as composition with other breast cancer agents as well as to treat breast cancer patients in view of the teaching of Caine et al.

This rejection is same as made in the previous office action. Applicants' traversal to overcome this rejection is not persuasive. Applicants' have argued that they have an

Application/Control Number: 10/719,896

Art Unit: 1624

earlier priority date based on the provisional application 60/438,568 and that the Caine reference is therefore not applicable as it is not a prior art. This is not persuasive. The Caine reference is a review article citing thrombotic complications in breast cancer. Caine cites several references which have earlier date than the said provisional application and indicate use of thrombotic agents in breast cancer pateints. See page 1584, left column, last paragraphs, and right column, See entire references including references 73-76. Thus, one trained in the art would be motivated use such agents in conjunction with anticancer agents in breast cancer pateints.

Hence, this rejection is proper and is maintained.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-27, 31, 32, 37-43, 45 and 46 are allowed.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be addressed to Venkataraman Balasubramanian (Bala) whose telephone number is (571) 272-0662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8.00 AM to 6.00 PM. The Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) of the art unit 1624 is James O. Wilson, whose telephone number is 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published Application/Control Number: 10/719,896 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAG. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-2 17-9197 (toll-free).

/Venkataraman Balasubramanian/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1624